

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAROL E. ROBINSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01686-HBK

ORDER GRANTING PARTIES' JOINT
MOTION TO REMAND UNDER SENTENCE
FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), REVERSING
FINAL DECISION AND REMANDING
CASE¹

(Doc. No. 23)

ORDER MOOTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

(Doc. No. 20).

Pending before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Remand filed July 20, 2022. (Doc. No. 23). Plaintiff Carol E. Robinson and the Commissioner of Social Security agree that this case should be remanded for further administrative proceedings under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (*Id.*).

The United States Supreme Court held that the Social Security Act permits remand in conjunction with a judgment either affirming, reversing, or modifying the Secretary's decision. *See Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89, 97-98 (1991) (addressing issue of attorney's fees under

¹ Both parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(c)(1). (Doc. No. 10).

1 the Equal Access to Justice Act and calculating deadline using date of final judgment). The
2 *Melkonyan* court recognized 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) contemplates only two types of remand –
3 sentence four or sentence six. *Id.* at 98. A sentence four remand authorizes a court to enter “a
4 judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Secretary, with or without
5 resetting the cause for a rehearing.” *Id.* (other citations omitted).

6 The Court grants the parties’ motion to remand under sentence four and reverses the
7 Commissioner’s final decision. On remand, the prior ALJ decision will be vacated and the
8 agency will issue a new decision.

9 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

10 1. Pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the Court grants the joint motion to
11 remand (Doc. No. 23) and REVERSES the Commissioner’s decision. This case is REMANDED
12 to the Commissioner of Social Security for further proceedings consistent with this Order.

13 2. An application for attorney fees may be filed by separate motion.

14 3. Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 20) is MOOT.

15 3. The Clerk shall enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff, terminate any deadlines, and close
16 this case.

17
18 Dated: July 25, 2022


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE